

# PhD FAST TRACK PROPOSAL EVALUATION (INTERVIEW)

RESEARCH TITLE	0	STUDENT'S NAME	0
EXAMINER'S NAME	0	DATE	
EXAMINER SIGNATURE AND OFFICIAL STAMP			
[Kindly upload your signature along with the official stamp here]		SECTION A	0
		SECTION B	0
		TOTAL MARKS	0

COMPONENTS	DESCRIPTION	RATING IN ACCORDANCE TO LIKERT SCALE (1-5)	WEIGHTAGE	TOTAL MARKS
SECTION A : INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND OF STUDY				
The candidate's ability to address the knowledge gain from previous literatures in relevant field and thoroughly justify the following elements:	Problem statement and research objectives are written accurately and clearly		0.6	0
	Supporting literatures and solid justification on the purpose of the research work are reported in the proposal accordingly		0.2	0
	Objectives of the research work is clear and suit PhD level		0.3	0
	Scope and limitation of study are written accurately and clearly		0.5	0
SECTION A : LITERATURE REVIEW				
The candidate's ability to appraised the problem in relevant field critically and show the ability to apply scientific skills through the following elements:	The literature review were comprehensively and critically written		0.8	0
	Literature review are relevant and up to date		0.6	0
	The source of the literature referred to are reliable		0.3	0
	Balance between the opposing and supporting literature		0.3	0
SECTION A : METHODOLOGY AND RESEARCH DESIGN				
The candidate's ability to independently conduct the research and adhere to legal, ethical and professional codes of practices demonstrated by:	The established procedure and processes are being adhere accordingly (including ethics)		1.0	0
	Solid justification for choosing and/or rejecting research techniques, methods and procedures are clearly addressed		1.0	0
	Technique, method and procedures selected are suitable and in line towards fulfilling the research objectives		1.0	0
SECTION A : EXPECTED OUTCOMES AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS				
The candidate's ability to adapt practical skills leading to innovative ideas in the relevant field demonstrated by:	Data from preliminary results / previous literature were accurately analyzed using suitable technique		0.3	0
	Data were presenting using suitable technique		0.3	0
	Interpretation of finding is coherence with the research objectives		0.8	0
	Discussion of findings is structured and critical		0.6	0
SECTION A : REFERENCES				
The candidate's ability to integrate infomration for lifelong learning demonstrated by:	Reference are written in accordance to the prescribed format		0.2	0
	Selection of reference are reliabe and coherence to the text		0.3	0
	Matching in-text citation with the reference list		0.1	0
SECTION A : LANGUAGE AND STYLE				
The candidate's ability to provide a PhD proposal by abiding to legal, ethical and professional codes of practices demonstrated by:	Overall proposal are written in a consistant format		0.1	0
	Good and consistent writing styles		0.3	0
	Continuity and unity of ideas throughout the research proposal		0.1	0
	Originality of the proposal with minimal evidance of plagiarism		0.3	0
SECTION B : PRESENTATION				
The candidate's ability to demonstrate effective communication skills via oral presentation:	Sustaining eye contact and engagement with evaluators and audience		0.5	0
	The presentation slides prepared are visually appealing and engaging		1.5	0
	Informations provided are clear and concise on each slide		1.5	0
	Manage to give a clear oral delivery		0.5	0
SECTION B : QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS				
The candidate's ability to answer technical questions in a concise and precise manner:	Provide accurate answer towards all / most of the questions from the panelist clearly		2.0	0
	Manage to demonstrate high confidence throughout the interview session		2.0	0
	Manage to display professionalism and courtesy		1.5	0
SECTION B : APPEARANCE				
The candidate dressed in an appropriate and professional manner			0.5	0
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/REMARKS				
[Write your comments/remarks on student's overall performance here]				

The Likert Scale	
5	Strongly Agree
4	Agree
3	Somewhat agree / Moderately agree
2	Disagree
1	Strongly Disagree